

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/092,167	MAEDA ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b> Bertrand Zeade	<b>Art Unit</b> 2875

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Bertrand Zeade.

(3) James Folker.

(2) Joseph Fox.

(4) Stephen Husar.

Date of Interview: 24 August 2004.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant  
2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

e) No.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-30.

Identification of prior art discussed: Prior art of record.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants' arguments presented distinguished over the art of record. Claims would be allowed upon further update of search..

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.



Stephen Husar  
Primary Examiner

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an  
Attachment to a signed Office action.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Examiner's signature, if required